



~California Dungeness Crab Task Force~

<http://www.opc.ca.gov/2009/04/dungeness-crab-task-force/>

Proposed Draft Consolidated Objectives

Objectives
1. Minimize or cap the potential of the fleet
2. Minimize effort shift within California
3. Minimize effort shift between CA and Oregon and Washington and permanent effort shift from other states
4. Increase profitability through the supply chain of the fishery
5. Operate a safe fishery
6. Establish mechanisms to spread the volume of commercial harvest over a longer period of time and reduce the derby dynamic
7. Industry participation to inform management, policy and marketing
8. Create a management structure that allows for efficient and transparent adaptive management of the fishery
9. Ensure adaptive management and associated data collection for future implementation of management measures in the fishery

Proposed Draft Consolidated Objectives and Management Measures

Objectives	Management Measures
1. Minimize or cap the potential of the fleet	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Redefining limited entry (includes latent permits and control date):</u> 1) could eliminate; 2) non transferable; 3) pot limits • <u>Redefine vessel specifications</u> • <u>Pot limits:</u> 1) tiered; 2) regional; 3) permit/pot stacking
2. Minimize effort shift within California	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Fair start 30 day stand down</u> • <u>Uniform start date</u> • <u>Pot limits for district 10</u> • <u>Coops</u> • <u>Move the district 10 line to Cape Mendocino</u> • <u>Regional limited entry for district 10: i.e point system for grandfathering</u>
3. Minimize effort shift between CA and Oregon	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Tri-state Commission Agreement fair start 30 day</u>

and Washington and permanent effort shift from other states	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Redefine limited entry</u> • <u>Pot limits</u>
4. Increase profitability through the supply chain of the fishery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Marketing “body”</u>: 1) branding; 2) PR; 3) Increase pre-season collaboration; 4) certification; 5) coop; 6) market IFQs; increase live fresh markets • <u>IFQs</u> • <u>Redefine limited entry</u> i.e. increases certainty
5. Operate a safe fishery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Pot limits</u> • <u>Changing soak times</u> • <u>Eliminate night fishing</u> • <u>IFQs</u>
6. Establish mechanisms to spread the volume of commercial harvest over a longer period of time and reduce the derby dynamic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Fair start</u> • <u>Pot limits</u> • <u>Marketing “body”</u>: 1) Coop; 2) Market IFQ; 3) Market order • <u>Regional limited entry for district 10: i.e point system for grandfathering</u>
7. Industry participation to inform management, policy and marketing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Marketing “body”</u> • <u>DCTF Advisory Body</u>
8. Create a management structure that allows for efficient and transparent adaptive management of the fishery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Have legislature delegate management to Fish and Game Commission</u> for a set amount of time “trial” – (could also improve ease of Tri-state coordination and agreement) • <u>DCTF Advisory Body</u> interacting with FGC

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Industry funding mechanisms</u>
<p>9. Ensure adaptive management and associated data collection for future implementation of management measures in the fishery</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Pilot management measures and set sunset dates</u> • <u>Specific data requirements tied to the management measure</u> • <u>Log book requirements</u> • <u>Industry funding mechanisms</u>

DRAFT