



John Laird, Secretary for Natural Resources, Council Chair
Matt Rodriguez, Secretary for Environmental Protection
Betty Yee, State Controller, State Lands Commission Chair
Fran Pavley, State Senator
Bill Quirk, State Assemblymember
Geraldine Knatz, Public Member
Michael Brown, Public Member

Item 4

Proposed motion to approve projects for funding under the Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014 (Proposition 1)

June 29, 2016

The following language is intended to provide the Ocean Protection Council with guidance on the formation of a motion(s) to take action on projects considered for funding under Proposition 1.

Staff recommends the use of the following motion to approve all eight projects

“I move for all eight projects to adopt the proposed findings as recommended by staff, approve the projects as described, and delegate to Director Halberstadt the authority to implement the Council’s approval.”

* * * * *

If Council wishes to amend one or more projects

If the Council desires to approve a subset of the eight projects but amend a resolution for one or more projects, suggested language is:

“For the following projects [\[insert project names here\]](#), I move to adopt the proposed findings as recommended by staff, approve the projects as described, and delegate to Director Halberstadt the authority to implement the Council’s approval.

For project(s) [\[insert project\(s\) name\]](#), I move to adopt the proposed findings, and approve the project(s) with modification or amendment [\[briefly describe amendment\]](#)[or as modified by or amended by Council Member [\(insert name\)](#)].”

Background: The eight projects under consideration can generally be categorized as either 1) point or non-point pollution reduction projects or 2) restoration and habitat enhancement projects for water quality benefits. At the June 29, 2016 meeting, staff intend to present high-level overviews of each of the eight projects and how they fit into the two categories described above. In order to conduct the meeting as expeditiously as possible, staff prefer that the Council approve all eight projects with one single motion and vote. If the Council does not feel comfortable in approving all eight projects as presented by staff, the Council can approve a certain subset of the projects as a group and then amend the resolution(s) of the remaining projects.