Exhibit 2

Physical Monitoring Science Plan
Tijuana Estuary Sediment Fate and Transport Demonstration Project

Coastal and Marine Geology Program
U.S. Geological Survey
April 15, 2008

Background

Fine-grained sediment is a natural part of the California nearshore coastal waters, and recent work suggests that approximately 34 million tonnes (Mt) – or roughly 30,000,000 cubic yards – of fine-grained sediment enters California coastal waters each year from coastal watersheds (Farnsworth and Warrick, 2007). Fine-grained sediment may also enter California coastal waters from human activities, including opportunistic use of sediment for beach nourishment, that are subject to a precautionary rule of thumb that sediment with greater than 20% fines (silt and clay) is not appropriate for placement in the nearshore unless additional information exists to show that such placement won't result in environmental degradation. This so-called 80-20 (coarse-to-fine sediment) "rule of thumb" was originally used as a threshold because of concern that pollutants might be attached to the fines in sufficient quantity to pose environmental problems. The rule of thumb is now also applied to "clean" sediment, with extensive/long-lasting turbidity and burial of species/habitat typically cited as the reasons for concern.

Unfortunately, much of the available sediment suitable for opportunistic use does not meet the 80-20 grain-size standard and must be transported inland to landfills at high cost rather than added beneficially to nearby coastal locations to meet needs such as for beach restoration. In order to reevaluate whether this rule of thumb is appropriately protective or overly conservative, a small demonstration project is proposed to provide the physical and biological data needed to assess the extent and duration of both turbidity and sedimentation when sediment with greater that 20% fines is used for opportunistic reuse.

Below we present a science plan that is designed to provide answers to pending questions about fine-grained sediment in California nearshore waters:

(1) What are the transport pathways and fate of fine-grained sediment introduced at the coastal shoreline?
(2) How do environmental and project variables, such as sediment placement volume, percent fines, waves, currents, and shelf setting, influence the rates and modes of transport and eventual fate?

We emphasize that this document is a Science Plan that describes the fundamental background and major activities of the scientific study. This is not a science proposal that provides an exhaustive synthesis of the background, study site and proposed methods. Further information about the background and methods of sediment transport processes can be found in Wright et al., (1991), Nittrouer and Wright (1994), and Warrick et al., (2008). Study area background can be found in Zedler et al. (1992), Roughan et al. (2005), Warrick et al., (2007), and the references cited therein.

Study Site

The proposed study site for the demonstration project is the South Beach of the Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve of southern California (Figure 1), where wetlands loss has occurred over the past few decades due to sedimentation resulting from sediment discharge from urbanizing tributaries. To help alleviate this problem, a debris basin was built at the mouth of the largest contributing tributary, Goat Canyon (Figure 1B), that annually traps greater than 40,000 yd^3 of sediment. This sediment is expensive for the State Parks to remove and dispose and could provide beneficial reuse as a supply for beach nourishment. The opportunistic sediment available at the Tijuana Estuary contains a relatively high percentage of fine-grained sediment, and therefore would normally not be considered as suitable for beach nourishment based on the 80-20 rule of thumb. Beneficial reuse could provide an ideal opportunity to investigate how fine sediment moves in such a coastal setting, thus providing valuable information for future sediment management activities.

It is important to compare the proposed placement with natural rates of fine-grained sediment input to the ocean for the region. Based on 46 years of historical data, Farnsworth and Warrick (2007) calculated that the Tijuana River annually discharges approximately 120,000 yd^3 of suspended sediment to the Pacific Ocean through the river mouth, roughly 90,000 yd^3 – or 77% – of this river sediment is fine-grained. Thus, the proposed sediment placement would be equivalent to ~1/4 of the average annual fine-grained sediment discharged annually from the Tijuana River to the Pacific Ocean. The actual annual discharge of sediment from the Tijuana River is highly variable, however, ranging from 0 to ~2,000,000 yd^3 over the historical record. Tijuana River sediment represents roughly 18% of the total fine-grained sediment inputs from the Peninsular Range (San Diego County to southern Orange County), from which 64% is estimated to be from river discharge and 36% from coastal bluff and cliff erosion.

Study Applicability

The Science Plan has been developed with the intention of providing results that will be applicable and may be generalized to other coastal settings. This will be conducted by evaluating the relationships observed between physical forcing and sediment transport. The relationships between forcing (e.g., shear stresses, currents, buoyancy in the bottom boundary layer) and transport would allow for application to other sites by means of comparison of physical conditions (e.g., wave climate, current trends and variations, and nearshore morphology) between the sites. It is assumed that fine sediment would be transported in response to these forcings, much as sediment is understood to transport on the mid- to outer shelf (e.g., Nittrouer and Wright, 1994; Wiberg et al., 2002) and in the nearshore and inner shelf (e.g., Wright et al., 1991). Further, we have included consideration for gravity-induced sediment transport events that may occur due to high loading of sediment or large wave-induced orbital velocities (e.g., Wright et al., 2001; Warrick et al., 2008). Generalizing from this body of work, sediment will be suspended at or above critical shear stresses that are dictated by wave-current interactions, bed conditions, and particle size(s), and transported by advection-diffusion processes. The development of these kinds of relationships will allow for application to other sites,

especially the California coast where the patterns in waves, currents, and coastal morphology are well documented.

The project is also designed to have multiple placements with different placement volumes, which will result in a range of environmental (wave and current) and project variables to evaluate. Multiple observation techniques are also proposed, which will allow for result and trend confirmation. Combined, these observations will be compared to environmental conditions during the project, and these results – in turn – will be compared to the existing body of sediment transport theory and observations. Emphasis will be directed toward comparisons with general sediment transport theory and developing new insights for how fine sediment moves in continental shelf settings under the sediment loading proposed.

We fully expect to obtain results that are applicable – at the very least – to other open-coast, dissipative beaches. These settings represent numerous sites in California and are locations where future sediment management might be practiced. Application of the results to other coastal sites will be possible with comparisons of site physical settings and appropriate scaling of the results to the site in question. Physical setting matters largely in that spatial and temporal variation in these properties may exist.

Placement Design

A detailed description of the placement design is provided in the Project Description Report, here we provide an abbreviated synthesis of this plan to inform the reader of the general techniques planned. Sediment will be acquired from the Goat Canyon sediment basin processing pad, screened to remove trash, and would contain a grain size distribution of approximately 40% fines:60% sand based on prior inventories of this sediment. The material will be transported from the processing pad to the beach during the 2008-'09 winter and staged on the upper beach, above highest high water (Figure 1). From there material will be bulldozed down to the exposed lower beach during times of low tides (Figure 2). There will be three placements, timed four weeks apart and coinciding with spring tides (Figure 3). Multiple placements will allow for the evaluation of how environmental conditions (waves, currents, and placement volume) affect sediment pathways and fate.

Placement 1: 10,000 yd^3 (~4,000 yd^3 of fines)
Placement 2: 10,000 yd^3 (~4,000 yd^3 of fines)
Placement 3: 40,000 yd^3 (~16,000 yd^3 of fines)

Total Placement Volume = 60,000 yd^3

The material will be placed in a flat-topped berm stretching along the beach and with a height of approximately 2 yd (Figure 2). Using historical topographic information from the beach, it appears that a 20 yd wide berm could be constructed within the important intertidal portion of the beach (Figure 2). This placement geometry (2 yd thick, 20 yd wide) would result in alongshore placement lengths of 250 yd for the first two placements and 1000 yd for the last placement.

Science Plan Methods

We propose the following integrated science plan to examine the dispersal and fate of sediment placed in the nearshore for the demonstration project. This study is intended to serve as a model for the dispersal and fate of mixed sediment from opportunistic beach nourishment for the Tijuana Estuary beaches and other coastal settings along California. We plan to use a variety of placement volumes and study the resulting water-column turbidity and sedimentation on the seabed.

The transport and fate of fine-grained sediment introduced into the nearshore of the South Beach of the Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve will be investigated with an extensive field study. The main questions that will be addressed in this study include:

1. What are the residence times and mechanisms of water turbidity due to the introduced fine sediment?
2. What are the residence times and mechanisms of sedimentation on the seabed due to the introduced fine sediment?
3. What are the sediment transport pathways that lead to the final sink for the fine sediment introduced into the nearshore?
4. How does project implementation (volume and rate of placement) influence ocean sediment transport and fate?
5. Will the coarser fraction of sediment added to the nearshore benefit the beach profile?

Field Study

Any sediment placed in the nearshore will be reworked and possibly transported prior to eventual deposition on the seafloor. Both waves and currents act on the sediment to transport it along- as well as across-shore. Field observations are essential for describing sediment movement and deposition, thus we will describe and quantify sediment transport along the Tijuana Estuary coastline with a combination of existing oceanographic data, seafloor mapping, tripod deployments, and water and seafloor sampling.

The field study will begin several months before the demonstration project and continue until at least several months after the project (Figure 3). We expect that this work will be conducted by a research team that will include the USGS and other academic, state, federal, and private industry partnerships. This program is divided into five tasks, as detailed below.

1. Seafloor Mapping

Seafloor mapping will be used to develop local baselines of bathymetry and seabed sediment type, which will then be used for change detection following the demonstration project. Two mapping cruises are planned for the field study, the first for May-June 2008 for a total of three weeks (Figure 3A), two weeks will be spent on obtaining high resolution swath sonar maps of ~50 km^2 of the study area (Figure 4) and one week will be spent collecting underwater video and seafloor grain-size measurements for ground-

truth of the sonar. This mapping will be an important product for project planning and to evaluate changes in the seafloor following the experiment. The second sonar-mapping cruise will reoccupy the same nearshore areas, using identical techniques, and will be conducted after the demonstration project and during the same portion of the calendar year of the first mapping cruise (May-June 2009; Figure 3A). The primary purpose of the second mapping cruise is a comparison with pre-demonstration project bathymetry and seafloor substrate.

2. Plume Mapping – Nearshore Tripods

A series of tripods will be placed in the nearshore of the study area (Figure 4) and will include acoustic and optical instruments to characterize the evolution of suspended sediment, water, and seafloor properties during the demonstration project (Figure 3B). The purpose of this task is to provide high-resolution time series of oceanographic properties at a series of locations in the study area to track the dispersal characteristics of sediment during the demonstration project. Six tripods will be deployed by the USGS both along- and across-shore of the sediment placement location, for a period of approximately 4 months (Figures 3 and 4). Instruments on the tripods will include optical and acoustic sensors to evaluate suspended sediment and water velocity conditions in the water column with emphasis on the lowest 2 meters above the seabed, where much of the sediment is expected to transport. Because optical sensors will provide important measurements of the characteristics of suspended sediment, and because these sensors can foul from biologic growth, divers will be utilized in between each sediment placement to clean these sensors. We will also deploy a bottom-mounted acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) with directional wave capabilities to provide detailed information about the incoming wave conditions (Figure 4). Combined, these measurements will be used to identify whether or not the sediment placements can be tracked as clear pulses of suspended and (or) deposited sediment in the nearshore.

3. Plume Mapping – Boat

Seafloor grain size and water column properties will be surveyed before, during, and after the demonstration project sediment placements by boat sampling. Seafloor grain size will be sampled with the an underwater bed camera developed by the USGS as detailed by Rubin and others (2006), the water column will be sampled with a conductivity, temperature, depth (CTD) sensor combined with optical turbidity and grain size sensors (transmissometer, optical backscatterance sensor – OBS, and a laser diffraction particle size analyzer – LISST). The boat surveys will be used in conjunction with the other sampling to track the movement of sediment offshore of the surf zone. An adaptive sampling strategy will be used for the boat sampling to provide samples that follow the plume location and focus on the days of maximum sediment movement.

4. Plume Mapping – Surf Zone and Sediment Sampling

The surf zone will be the initial zone of sediment suspension and movement and will direct the initial fate of the sediment. Surf-zone sampling will be important to track the initial sediment movement and the topographic and grain size responses of the beach to sediment placements. Surf-zone sampling will include both topographic surveys of the beach surrounding the region and water sampling by both physical sampling and,

perhaps, moored instrumentation. If seabed armoring in the surf zone is identified to be an important process, then significant amounts of fine grained material will be trapped beneath a thick layer of coarser material, and there will be a need to continue portions of the study for significantly longer periods than shown in the project timeline (Figure 3). We are currently in discussions with academic partner(s) to provide the sampling and analysis of this task.

5. Plume Mapping – Aerial Remote Sensing

Aerial remote sensing will be used to collect multi-spectral imagery of the study area to evaluate sediment dispersal pathways. Remote sensing will be provided by airplane overflights by Ocean Imaging, a private remote sensing company, which has been collecting multi-spectral imagery of the study area for approximately 4 years in a partnership with the City of San Diego. The imagery has a ground resolution of approximately 2 meters, which is adequate to track suspended sediment in both the surf zone and the nearshore. Two subtasks will be completed. First, historical imagery of the study area from Ocean Imaging will be organized, processed and synthesized to describe the turbidity and physical properties (for example, rip currents and river plumes) of the study area. This first subtask is ongoing in a partnership between the USGS, Ocean Imaging and Dr. Katie Farnsworth of the Indiana University of Pennsylvania. Secondly, 20 new overflights are proposed for the demonstration project, to be conducted daily during and immediately following placements, as weather permits. Although aerial mapping will provide detailed information of the nearshore waters, we note that these data preferentially sample the surface of the water column whereas transport of the sediment will occur preferentially along the seafloor. Thus, the aerial mapping is expected to provide information about the initial transport directions and patterns, but not provide information about the turbidity persistence or eventual fate of the sediment.

Supporting Data

The science plan will benefit from data collected in the study area region, including:

1. San Diego Coastal Ocean Observing System (SDCOOS) high-frequency (HF) radar observations of surface currents, which will provide valuable near real-time information about the regional ocean circulation, and the Tijuana River plume.

2. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) NDBC meteorologic buoys, especially buoy 46086 (San Clemente Basin), which provides near real-time wind and wave information at a location ~70 km offshore of the demonstration project.

3. There may be an opportunity to collaborate with the Scripps Institute of Oceanography (SIO) Coastal Data Information Program (CDIP) wave buoy program to provide highly accurate, and near real-time, directional wave information for the study area region.

4. Tijuana Estuary Demonstration Project Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). The SAP is designed to provide the environmental monitoring (including biologic

monitoring) necessary for the permitting of the demonstration project. Data collected in the SAP will be essential for evaluating biology response to the demonstration project.

5. Historic water quality data collected offshore of the demonstration project by the City of San Diego in coordination with the operation of the South Bay Outfall.

Project Synthesis

Information collected in this study will be used to evaluate the scales of time and space over which sediment transport occurs and to compare these results to the environmental forcing that occurred during the project. These latter analyses will be important for evaluating why, when and how much sediment transport occurred. It is expected that fine-grained sediment transport will respond to key environmental forcings, such as waves and currents (cf. Nittrouer and Wright, 1994; Wright et al., 1991). The development of these relationships – along with comparisons to other observations and theory – will be a central result of this study, owing to the potential to apply these relationships to other coastal settings.

The results of the study will be analyzed, interpreted and synthesized in peer-reviewed USGS reports and journal articles, which will be made available to the public. An executive summary of the experiment will also be developed into a two-page USGS Fact Sheet, which will available to distribute to the public and interested parties.

Project Investigators

The USGS project research staff will be:

Jonathan A. Warrick, Ph.D. (Principle Investigator)
Jingping Xu, Ph.D. (Assistant Investigator)
David Rubin, Ph.D. (Assistant Investigator)

Dr. Warrick's curriculum vitae (CV), list of publications, and PDF copies of most of these publications are available on his USGS professional webpage (http://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/staff/jwarrick/).

Cited References:

Farnsworth, K.L. and J.A. Warrick, 2007. Sources, dispersal, and fate of fine-grained sediment supplied to Coastal California: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigation Report 2007-5254. {available at: http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2007/5254/}

Nittrouer, CA and LD Wright, 1994, Transport of particles across continental shelves. Review of Geophysics, 32(1):85-113.

Roughan, M., E.J. Terrill, J.L. Largier, M.P. Otero, 2005, Observations of divergence and upwelling around Point Loma, California: Journal of Geophysical Research – Oceans, v. 110, C04011, doi:10.1029/2004JC002662.

Rubin, D,M. H. Chezar, J.N. Harney, D.J. Topping, T.S. Melis, C.R. Sherwood, 2006. Underwater microscope for measuring spatial and temporal changes in bed-sediment grain size: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006-1360, 15 p.

Warrick J.A., P.M. DiGiacomo, S.B. Weisberg, N.P. Nezlin, M. Mengel, B.H. Jones, J.C. Ohlmann, L. Washburn, E.J. Terrill and K.L. Farnsworth. 2007. River plume patterns and dynamics within the Southern California Bight. Continental Shelf Research, v. 27(19):2427-2448. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2007.06.015

Warrick et al., 2008, Rapid formation of hyperpycnal sediment gravity currents offshore of a semi-arid California river. Continental Shelf Research, doi: 10.1016/j.csr.2007.11.002

Wiberg PL et al., 2002, Sediment transport on the Palos Verdes shelf over seasonal to decadal time scales. Continental Shelf Research, 22(6-7):987-1004.

Wright et al., 1991, Modes of cross-shore sediment transport on the shoreface of the Middle Atlantic Bight. Marine Geology, 96:19-51.

Wright et al., 2001, Effects of ambient currents and waves on gravity-driven sediment transport on continental shelves. Marine Geology, 175:25-45.

Zedler, J.B., C.S. Nordby, and B.E. Kus, 1992, The ecology of Tijuana Estuary, California: A National Estuarine Research Reserve. NOAA, Washington, D.C.



Figure 1: (A) Location of Tijuana River Estuary and proposed demostration project location. (B) Map of the demonstration project area with project locations highlighted (after the Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve trail map).



Figure 2: Beach profile along transect SS-0003, farthest south SANDAG beach profile, with locations of staging and placment indicated. (Adapted from profile in the appendices of the SANDAG, 2002 Regional Beach Monitoring Program Annual Report).

Draft Timeline
Tijuana Estuary Demonstration Project



Figure 3: Preliminary timeline of Tijuana River Fate and Transport Project. Dates for placement were determined by times of highest high and lowest low tides. Exact timing of repeat measurements during project have yet to be determined.



Figure 4: Map showing study area and in-situ equipment locations.