





Red Abalone FMP Project Team Webinar November 21, 2019

More precautionary management measures may be needed in the face of unusual or extreme environmental conditions ('exceptional circumstances') that could drastically impact the red abalone resource

- Part A was added to the management strategy to account for exceptional circumstances
- Part A of the decision tree would evaluate presence of broader scale ecosystem events or impacts, and/or rapidly assess indicators to evaluate any risks that could be detrimental or result in mass mortality of the red abalone resource.
- If no exceptional circumstances are observed, then proceed to Part B (indicator-based decision-tree)

- Broader-scale ecosystem events and impacts would include:
 - \odot Persistence of large marine heat waves
 - Presence of disease (e.g., withering syndrome)
 - \odot Presence of toxic harmful algal blooms
 - \circ Oil spills

- Rapid assessment would include an evaluation of pre-determined and justified indicators:
 - \circ Ocean Warming
 - Dissolved oxygen level
 - Ocean temperature
 - \circ Environmental Shifts
 - Kelp abundance
 - Sea urchin density
 - Sea star density
 - \odot Ocean pH/acidification
 - $\,\circ\,$ Red Abalone Reproductive State
 - Body condition
 - Gonad condition
 - Presence of empty abalone shells

- Three potential actions if exceptional circumstances are triggered
 - Collect more data and continue on to Part B
 - Fishery closed across one or multiple fishing zones
 - Go to Fish and Game Commission for direction on management action

Discussion Questions

- Given capacity and cost constraints and current data availability, which three indicators would you suggest we prioritize for monitoring in Part A? Which data streams do we anticipate using?
- Are the indicators under consideration directly or indirectly related to impacts on red abalone health and productivity? Is the mechanism clearly understood or does a clear threshold exist?
- Which exceptional circumstances would trigger closure of all fishing zones and which would trigger closures at the individual fishing zone?
- What could data collection/sampling protocols look like during rapid assessments? Would data sources and sampling entities be pre-defined?
- How do we account for persistence of events like marine heat waves?
- Do you have any insights or concerns about how Part A would function? Would you be interested in serving in an advisory capacity or reviewing a more complete version of this proposal in advance of the draft FMP being presented?